



EUROPEAN EDUCATION AND CULTURE  
EXECUTIVE AGENCY (EACEA)

EACEA.A - Erasmus+, EU Solidarity Corps  
EACEA.A.4 - International Capacity Building

Brussels,  
EACEA.A.4/ BG/ED

Prof. Dr Håkan Pihl  
Vice-Chancellor  
Hoegskolan Kristianstad  
Elmetorps Vägen 15  
Se - 29188 Kristianstad

Email: [hakan.pihl@hkr.se](mailto:hakan.pihl@hkr.se)  
Cc: [martin.j.persson@hkr.se](mailto:martin.j.persson@hkr.se)

**Subject: 618596-EPP-1-2020-1-SE-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP**  
**Technical Implementation Report of the project and Statement on the use of the previous pre-financing instalment and request for payment**

Dear Prof. Dr Pihl,

We would like to inform you and your project partners that we have carried out the assessment of your Technical Implementation Report submitted on 5/08/2023 and we are pleased to inform you that it has been approved.

Our assessment looks at the partnership's performance as regards the efficient implementation of the project. It takes into account the Technical Implementation Report but also the desk monitoring conducted by the EACEA since the start of the eligibility period. The project implementation should not only respect the CBHE requirements but it should also be in line with the original proposal included under Annex I of the Grant Agreement.

In this context and in accordance with the Grant Agreement and Erasmus+ Programme Guide, your project implementation has been qualified as **GOOD** (please refer to the Categories of qualification below). The Comments and recommendations sheet in Annex of the letter provides more detailed feedback.

In addition, we remind you that your project is now online on the [Erasmus+ Project Results Platform](#), so as to ensure transparency and visibility of EU funding. We invite you to update your project data and to upload your project results. Please note though that these results will become publicly available only after the validation of your final report by the Agency.

Finally, we would like to thank you for your "Statement on the use of the previous pre-financing instalment" received on 05/08/2023.

We are pleased to inform you that, on the basis of the information provided in the above mentioned form, the requirements foreseen by Article I.4.2 of the Grant Agreement have been met. Therefore, you may expect payment of a further pre-financing in accordance with the rules set out in Art. I.5.1 and I.5.2 of the Grant Agreement.

Please note that, if the statement on the use of the previous pre-financing instalment submitted in accordance with Article I.4.2 shows that less than 70 % of the previous pre-financing instalment paid has been used, the amount of the new pre-financing to be paid will be reduced by the difference between the 70 % ceiling and the amount used.

Please note that the eligibility of the costs incurred (excel file) will only be verified at Final Report stage (Article II.25 of the Grant Agreement). Therefore, this feedback letter does not imply the approval of the costs declared in this reporting exercise.

Should you require any further information, please contact Eugenio Delfino (Tel: +32 2 29 63578, e-mail: Eugenio.Delfino@ec.europa.eu. We also encourage you to regularly consult our Erasmus+ website [https://eacea.ec.europa.eu/erasmus-plus/beneficiaries-space\\_en](https://eacea.ec.europa.eu/erasmus-plus/beneficiaries-space_en).

We wish you a successful continuation of your activities.

Yours sincerely,

Barbara GESSLER  
Head of Unit

Annex: Comments and recommendations

**Categories of qualification:**

**Very good (at least 75 pts out of 100):** the project is implemented in accordance with its original work programme and timetable; the report provides all the information and evidence needed and there are no particular concerns or areas of weakness.

**Good (between 74 and 60 pts out of 100):** the project progresses in accordance with its original work programme and timetable but some improvements could be made; the report gives clear information on all or nearly all of the evidence needed.

**Fair (between 59 and 50 pts):** there are weaknesses and concerns in the project implementation; although the report gives some relevant information, details are lacking and/or the information is unclear; there are several areas in the implementation that must be improved during the second half of the project.

**Weak (less than 50 pts out of 100):** the project is not respecting its original work plan/timetable and/or is not fulfilling the CBHE requirements; the report fails to address important aspects of the project implementation that cannot be judged due to missing or incomplete information; in accordance with article 1.10.6 of the Grant Agreement and section 3.5.2.2 of the Guidelines for the Use of the Grant, the maximum grant will be reduced at final report stage if no substantial improvements are observed during the second half of the project.

